

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Mark Whitehead,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Commissioner of Social Security  
13 Administration,

14 Defendant.

No. CV-22-00486-TUC-JCH

**ORDER**

15 On January 26, 2024, Magistrate Judge Angela M. Martinez issued a Report and  
16 Recommendation ("R&R") in which she recommended that the Court remand this matter  
17 to the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") for further proceedings. (Doc. 21.) Judge  
18 Martinez notified the parties they had fourteen days from the date of the R&R to file  
19 objections. (*Id.* at 12.) No objections were filed.


20 If no objections are filed, the district judge is not required to review the magistrate  
21 judge's R&R under any specified standard of review. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150  
22 (1985). However, the district judge may conduct further review, "*sua sponte* or at the  
23 request of a party, under a *de novo* or any other standard." *Id.* at 154.

24 The Court has reviewed and considered the Complaint (Doc. 1), Answer (Doc.  
25 14), Plaintiff's Opening Brief (Doc. 16), the Commissioner's Response (Doc. 18),  
26 Plaintiff's Reply (Doc. 19), and the R&R (Doc. 21). The Court finds the R&R well-  
27 reasoned and agrees with Judge Martinez's factual and legal conclusions.

28 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED the R&R is ADOPTED. (Doc. 21.)

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Commissioner of Social Security's decision is  
2 VACATED and REMANDED for further proceedings in accordance with Judge  
3 Martinez's R&R, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The Clerk of Court  
4 shall docket accordingly and close the case file in this matter.

5 Dated this 16th day of February, 2024.

6  
7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 John C. Hinderaker  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28